

L-open sets in bitopological spaces

Luay Al- Sweedy * Reyadh Delfi Ali **

***Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Babyloon University, Iraq**

**** Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education AL-Qadisiyia
University, Iraq**

Reyadh_delphi@yahoo.co.uk

المستخلص:

دراسة نوع جديد من المجموعات المفتوحة في فضاءات التبولوجيا مع دراسة بعض خواصها.

Abstract:

The authors introduce some new open sets in bitopological spaces and study some of their basic properties.

1.Introduction:

The concept of bitopological spaces was initiated by Kelly [1]. Let (X, T_1, T_2) be a bitopological spaces. For $A \subset X$ set by $cl_i(A)$ and $int_i(A)$ we denote the T_i -closure and T_i -interior of A for $i = 1, 2$.

2.1Definition:

A subset A in bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) will be termed L -open iff there exists an T_1 -open set U such that $U \subset A \subset cl_2(U)$.

The family of all L -open sets in bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) is denoted by $LO(X)$. It's clear that every T_1 -open set is L -open but the converse is not true. The complement of L -open set will be called a L -closed set.

The following theorems give some properties of L -open sets.

2.2 Theorem:

Let (X, T_1, T_2) be a bitopological space. Let $A \subset X$, A is L -open iff $A \subset cl_2(Int_1(A))$.

Proof:

Let $A \subset cl_2(Int_1(A))$. Put $U = Int_1(A)$, we have $U \subset A \subset cl_2(U)$.

Conversely. Let A be L -open set. Then $U \subset A \subset cl_2(U)$ for some T_1 -open set U . But $U \subset Int_1(A)$ and thus $cl_2(U) \subset cl_2(Int_1(A))$. Hence $A \subset cl_2(U) \subset cl_2(Int_1(A))$.

2.3 Theorem :

Let (X, T_1, T_2) be a bitopological space. Let A and B be two subsets of X . then

- 1) Let $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a collection of L -open sets in a bitopological space X . then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha$ is L -open.**
- 2) Let A be L -open in the bitopological space X and suppose $A \subset B \subset cl_2(A)$. then B is L -open.**

Proof:

1) For each $\alpha \in \Lambda$, we have an T_1 -open U_α such that $U_\alpha \subset A_\alpha \subset cl_2(U_\alpha)$.

Then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} cl_2(U_\alpha) \subset cl_2\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha\right)$. Hence, let $U = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$. Then $U \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha \subset cl_2(U)$.

2) Since A is L -open, there exists an T_1 -open set U such that $U \subset A \subset cl_2(U)$. Then $U \subset B$. But $cl_2(A) \subset cl_2(U)$ and thus $B \subset cl_2(U)$. Hence $U \subset B \subset cl_2(U)$ and B is

L -open.

2.4 Theorem :

Let $\mu = \{B_\alpha\}$ be a collection of sets in X such that,

1) $T_1 \subset T_2$

2) If $B \in \mu$ and $B \subset U \subset cl_2(B)$, then $U \in \mu$. Then $LO(X) \subset \mu$. Thus $LO(X)$ is the smallest class of sets in X satisfying (1) and (2).

Proof:

Let $A \in LO(X)$, then $O \subset A \subset cl_2(O)$ for some T_1 -open set O . Then $O \in \mu$ by (1) and thus $A \in \mu$ by (2).

2.5 Theorem :

Let $A \subset Y \subset X$ where X is a bitopological space and Y is a subspace of bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) . Let $A \in LO(X)$, then. $A \in LO(Y)$

Proof:

$O \subset A \subset cl_2(O)$, where O is T_1 -open in X . now $O \subset Y$ and thus $O = O \cap Y \subset A \cap Y \subset Y \cap cl_2(O)$ or $O \subset A \subset cl_{2Y}(O)$.

Since $O = O \cap Y$, O is T_1/Y -open in Y and the theorem is proved.

2.6 definition :

A Set $M_x \subset X$ is said to be L -neighborhood of a point $x \in X$ iff there exists a $A \in LO(X)$ such that $A \subset M_x$.

2.7 Theorem:

$A \in LO(X)$ iff A is L -neighborhood of each $x \in A$.

Proof: easy.

2.8 definition :

A point $x \in X$ is said to be L -limit point of A iff for each $U_x \in LO(X)$, $U_x \cap A / \{x\} \neq \emptyset$.

The following theorems give some properties of L -limit points.

2.9 Theorem :

A is L -closed iff it contains the set of its L -limit points.

Proof: Easy

The set of all L -limit points of A is said to be the L -derived set of A and is denoted by $L-der(A)$.

2.10 Theorem :

If A, B by subsets of bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) . Then,

- (1) if $A \subset B$,then $L-der(A) \subset L-der(B)$.
- (2) $L-der(A) \cup L-der(B) \subset L-der(A \cup B)$.
- (3) $L-der(A \cap B) \subset L-der(A) \cap L-der(B)$.
- (4) $L-der(L-der(A))/A \subset L-der(A)$.
- (5) $L-der(A \cup L-der(A)) \subset A \cup L-der(A)$.

Proof:

We prove parts (4),(5), and the others follow directly from definitions.

(4) Let $P \in L-der(L-der(A))/A$ and $U \in L.O.(X)$. Then $U \cap L-der(A)/\{P\} \neq \phi$.

Let $q \in U \cap L-der(A)/\{p\}$. Now since $q \in L-der(A)$ and $q \in U$, $U \cap A/\{q\} \neq \phi$.

Let $r \in U \cap A/\{q\}$. Then $r \neq p$ for $r \in A$ and $p \notin A$. Therefore $U \cap A/\{p\} \neq \phi$ implies that $p \in L-der(A)$.

(5) Let $b \in L-der(A \cup L-der(A))$. If $b \in A$, the result is obvious. So let $b \in L-der(A \cup L-der(A))/A$. Then, if $U \in L.O.(X)$ containing b , $U \cap L-der(A \cup L-der(A))/\{b\} \neq \phi$, then $U \cap L-der(A)/\{b\} \neq \phi$. Now it follows similarly from (4) that $U \cap A/\{b\} \neq \phi$. Therefore $b \in L-der(A)$.

Thus in any case $L-der(A \cup L-der(A)) \subset A \cup L-der(A)$.

2.11 definition :

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) , $A \cup L-der(A)$ is defined to be the L -closure of A and is denoted by $L-cl(A)$.

The following theorem gives some properties of L -closure sets.

2.12 Theorem :

**Let (X, T_1, T_2) be a bitopological space. Let A and B be two subsets of X .
Then**

(1) $A \subset L-cl(A) = A \cup L-der(A)$

(2) If $A \subset B$, then $L-cl(A) \subset L-cl(B)$.

(3) $L-cl(A) \cup L-cl(B) \subset L-cl(A \cup B)$.

(4) $L-cl(A \cap B) \subset L-cl(A) \cap L-cl(B)$.

(5) $L-cl(\phi) = \phi$. $L-cl(X) = X$.

(6) $L-cl(L-cl(A)) = L-cl(A)$.

(7) A is a L -closed iff $L-cl(A) = A$, $L-cl(A)$ is L -closed.

(8) $L-cl(A) = \bigcap \{F, F \text{ is } L\text{-closed and } A \subset F\}$, $(L-cl(A))$ is the smallest L -closed set containing A).

Proof:

We prove parts (2),(6),(7) and the others follow directly from definitions.

**(2) Since $A \subset B$ and by theorem 2.10 part (1) $L-der(A) \subset L-der(B)$.
Then $A \cup L-der(A) \subset B \cup L-der(B)$, therefore $L-cl(A) \subset L-cl(B)$.**

(6)
 $L-cl(L-cl(A)) = L-cl(A \cup L-der(A)) = (A \cup L-der(A)) \cup L-der(A \cup L-der(A)) =$
 $(A \cup L-der(A)) = L-cl(A)$

(7) By theorem 2.9, A is L -closed iff $L-der(A) \subset A$, i.e., iff $L-cl(A) = A$.

We introduce the following definition of the L -interior of a set which is similar to that of standard interior.

2.13 definition :

A point $x \in X$ is said to be a L -interior point of A iff there exists $U \in L.O.(X)$ containing x , such that $U \subset A$. The set of all L -interior points of A is said to be the L -interior of A and is denoted by $L-int(A)$.

The following theorem gives properties of L -interior sets.

2.14 Theorem :

For any subsets A, B of bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) . Then,

- (1) $L-int(A)$ is L -open.**
- (2) $L-int(A)$ is the largest L -open set contained in A .**
- (3) A is L -open iff $A = L-int(A)$.**
- (4) $L-int(L-int(A)) = L-int(A)$.**
- (5) $L-int(A) = A/L-der(X/A)$.**
- (6) I) $X/L-int(A) = L-cl(X/A)$. II) $L-int(X/A) \subset X/L-int(A)$.**
- (7) I) $X/L-cl(A) = L-int(X/A)$. II) If $A \subset B$, then $L-int(A) \subset L-int(B)$.**
- (8) I) $L-int(A) \cup L-int(B) \subset L-int(A \cup B)$ II)
 $L-int(A \cap B) \subset L-int(A) \cap L-int(B)$.**
- (9) I) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} L-int(A_\alpha) \subset L-int\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_\alpha\right)$.
II) $L-int(A/B) \subset L-int(A)/B \subset L-int(A)/L-int(B)$.**

Proof:

We prove part (1),(2),(5),(6) and the others follow directly from definitions.

(1) Let $x \in L-int(A)$. Then $U \subset A$ for some $U \in L.O.(X)$, containing x . Also $y \in U$, then $y \in L-int(A)$, therefore $U \subset L-int(A)$. Hence $L-int(A)$ is L -neighborhood of x . Therefore by theorem 2.7, $L-int(A)$ is L -open.

(2) Let $V \in L.O.(X), V \subset A$ then $y \in V$, implies that $y \in A$, so that $y \in L-int(A)$.

Therefore $V \subset L-int(A)$. Now the result follows from part (1).

(5) Let $x \in A$. Then $x \notin L\text{-}der(X/A)$, then exists L -open set U containing x such that $U \cap X/A = \phi$, implies that $x \in U \subset A$, then $x \in L\text{-}int(A)$.

Conversely, let $x \in L\text{-}int(A)$, then $x \in L\text{-}der(X/A)$ for $L\text{-}int(A)$ is L -open and $L\text{-}int(A) \cap X/A = \phi$. Therefore $L\text{-}int(A) = A/L\text{-}der(X/A)$.

(6) I) $X/L\text{-}int(A) = X/(A/L\text{-}der(X/A)) = (X/A) \cup L\text{-}der(X/A) = L\text{-}cl(X/A)$.

II) $L\text{-}int(X/A) \subset X/A \subset L\text{-}cl(X/A) = X/L\text{-}int(A)$.

3L-Boundary, L-Exterior and L-Frontier Operators :

In this section we define L -boundary, L -exterior and L -frontier of a set, we study these three operators and prove some standard results.

The following are elementary definitions which are used throughout the work.

3.1 Definition :

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) , $A/L\text{-}int(A)$ is said to be the L -boundary of A and is denoted by $L\text{-}b(A)$.

3.2 Definition :

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) , $L\text{-}cl(A)/L\text{-}int(A)$ is said to be the L -frontier of A and is denoted by $L\text{-}fr(A)$.

3.3 Definition :

Let A be a subset of a bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) , $L\text{-}int(X/A)$ is said to be the L -exterior of A and is denoted by $L\text{-}ext(A)$.

3.4 Theorem :

For any subsets A, B of bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) . Then,

(1) I) $A = L\text{-}int(A) \cup L\text{-}b(A)$,

II) $L\text{-}int(A) \cap L\text{-}b(A) = \phi$,

- (2) A is L -open iff $L-b(A) = \phi$,
- (3) $L-b(L-int(A)) = \phi$,
- (4) $L-int(L-b(A)) = \phi$,
- (5) $L-b(L-b(A)) = L-b(A)$,
- (6) $L-b(A) = A \cap L-b(X/A)$,
- (7) I) $L-b(A) = L-der(X/A)$,
 II) $L-der(A) = L-b(X/A)$,
- (8) If $A \subset B$, then $L-b(B) \subset L-b(A)$,
- (9) I) $L-b(A \cup B) \subset L-b(A) \cup L-b(B)$,
 II) $L-b(A) \cap L-b(B) \subset L-b(A \cap B)$,

Proof:

We prove part (4),(6),(7I),(8) and the others follows directly from definitions and above theorems.

(4) If possible, let $x \in L-int(L-b(A))$, then $x \in L-b(A)$, also $L-b(A) \subset A$.

Therefore $x \in L-int(L-b(A)) \subset L-int(A)$. Hence $x \in L-int(A) \cap L-b(A)$, which contradicts parts (1II). Consequently $L-int(L-b(A)) = \phi$.

(6) $L-b(A) = A/L-int(A) = A/(X/L-cl(X/A)) = A \cap L-cl(X/A)$.

(7I) $L-b(A) = A/L-int(A) = A/(A/L-der(X/A)) = L-der(X/A)$.

(8) Let $x \in A$, $x \in L-b(B)$, then $x \notin L-int(B)$, implies that $x \notin L-int(A)$.

Then $x \in L-b(A)$, therefore $L-b(B) \subset L-b(A)$.

3.5 Theorem :

For any subsets A, B of bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) . Then,

- (1) I) $L-cl(A) = L-int(A) \cup L-fr(A)$,
 II) $L-int(A) \cap L-fr(A) = \phi$,

- (2) $L-b(A) \subset L-fr(A)$,
- (3) $L-fr(A) = L-b(A) \cup L-der(A)$,
- (4) A is L -open iff $L-fr(A) = L-der(A)$,
- (5) $L-fr(A) = L-cl(A) \cap L-cl(X/A)$,
- (6) $L-fr(A) = L-fr(X/A)$,
- (7) $L-fr(A)$ is L -closed,
- (8) $L-fr(L-fr(A)) = L-fr(A)$,

Proof:

We prove parts (3),(7),(8) and the others follows directly from definitions and above theorems.

(3) $L-int(A) \cup L-fr(A) = L-cl(A) = A \cup L-der(A) = L-int(A) \cup L-b(A) \cup L-der(A)$.
Therefore $L-fr(A) = L-b(A) \cup L-der(A)$.

(7)
 $L-cl(L-fr(A)) = L-cl(L-cl(A) \cap L-cl(X/A)) \subset L-cl(L-cl(A)) \cap L-cl(L-cl(X/A)) = L-cl(A) \cap L-cl(X/A) \subset L-fr(A)$.

(8)
 $L-fr(L-fr(A)) = L-cl(L-fr(A)) \cap L-cl(X/L-fr(A)) = L-cl(L-fr(A)) = L-fr(A)$.

3.6 Theorem :

For any subsets A, B of bitopological space (X, T_1, T_2) . Then,

- (1) $L-ext(A)$ is L -open set.
- (2) $L-ext(A) = X / L-cl(A)$,
- (3) $L-ext(L-ext(A)) = L-int(L-cl(A))$,
- (4) **I** $L-ext(A \cup B) = L-int(X/(A \cup B))$,
- II** $L-ext(A) \cup L-ext(B) \subset L-ext(A \cup B)$,

Proof:

We prove parts (2),(3),(4I) and the others follows directly from definitions and above theorems.

(2) $L-ext(A) = L-int(X/A) = X/L-cl(A)$.

(3)
 $L-ext(L-ext(A)) = L-ext(X/L-cl(A)) = X/L-int(X/L-cl(A)) = L-int(L-cl(A))$.

$$(4I) L-ext(A \cup B) = L-int(X/(A \cup B))$$

$$L-int((X/A) \cap (X/B)) \subset L-int(X/A) \cap L-int(X/B) = L-ext(A) \cap L-ext(B).$$

4 Examples :

In this section, we shall show that the converse of the above theorems are not true.

4.1 Remark :

The revers in clusion in the theorem 2.10 par (2),(3) are not true as shown by the following example.

4.2 Example :

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $T_1 = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ $T_2 = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Then it can be verified that (X, T_1, T_2) is bitopological space and $L.O.(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$. Take

$$A = \{a\}, B = \{c\}. \text{ Then } L-der(A) = \phi, L-der(B) = \phi. \text{ Also}$$

$$L-der(A \cup B) = L-der(\{a, c\}) = \{c\} \not\subset L-der(A) \cup L-der(B) = \phi.$$

Take $A = \{a, c\}, B = \{b, c\}$. Then $L-der(A) = \{c\}, L-der(B) = \{c\}$. Again

$$L-der(A \cap B) = L-der(\{c\}) = \phi. \text{ Therefore}$$

$$L-der(A) \cap L-der(B) = \{c\} \not\subset L-der(A \cap B) = \phi.$$

Take $A = \{b, c\}, B = \{b\}$. Then $L-cl(A) = \{b, c\}; L-cl(B) = \{b, c\}$. Therefore

$$L-cl(A) = L-cl(B) = \{b, c\}, \text{ but } A \neq B.$$

Take $A = \{b\}$, $L-cl(A) = \{b, c\}$, and $L-der(L-cl(A)) = L-der(\{b, c\}) = \{c\}$.

Hence $L-cl(A) = \{b, c\} \not\subset L-der(L-cl(A)) = \{c\}$.

4.3 Remark :

The reverse inclusion in theorem 2.12 parts (3) and (4) are not true as shown by the following example.

4.4 Example :

Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $T_1 = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$, $T_2 = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$. Then it be verified that (X, T_1, T_2) is a bitopological space and $L.O.(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, c\}\}$.

Take $A = \{a\}, B = \{b\}$. Then $L-cl(A) = \{a\}, L-cl(B) = \{b\}$. Again $A \cup B = \{a, b\}$

and $L-cl(A \cup B) = X$. Therefore $L-cl(A \cup B) = X \not\subset L-cl(A) \cup L-cl(B) = \{a, b\}$.

Take $A = \{b, c\}, B = \{a, b\}$. Then $L-cl(A) = \{b, c\}, L-cl(B) = X$, and

$$A \cap B = \{b\}, L-cl(A \cap B) = \{b\}. \text{ Therefore}$$

$$L-cl(A) \cap L-cl(B) = \{b, c\} \not\subset L-cl(A \cap B) = \{b\}.$$

4.5 Remark :

It is obvious that every T_1 -open is L -open, but the converse is not true as the following example show.

4.6 Example :

Consider (X, T_1, T_2) defined in example 4.4. Take $A = \{a, c\}$ is L -open set but not T_1 -open .

4.7 Remark :

If $L - \text{int}(A) = L - \text{int}(B)$ does not imply $A = B$ as shown by the following example.

4.8 Example :

Consider (X, T_1, T_2) defined in example 4.2. Take $A = \{a\}, B = \{a, c\}$. Then $L - \text{int}(A) = \{a\}, L - \text{int}(B) = \{a\}$. Therefore $L - \text{int}(A) = L - \text{int}(B)$ but $A \neq B$.

4.9 Remark :

The revers inclusion in theorem 2.14 parts (8I),(8II) are not true as shown by the following example .

4.10 Example :

Consider (X, T_1, T_2) defined in example 4.2. Take $A = \{c\}, B = \{b\}$. Then

$$L - \text{int}(A) = \phi, L - \text{int}(B) = \{b\}, \text{but } L - \text{int}(A \cup B) = \{b, c\}, \text{therefore } L - \text{int}(A \cup B) = \{b, c\} \not\subset L - \text{int}(A) \cup L - \text{int}(B) = \{b\} .$$

Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $T_1 = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $T_2 = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$. Then it can be verified that (X, T_1, T_2) is a bitopological space and

$$L.O.(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}\} . \text{ Take } A = \{b, c\}, B = \{a, b, d\} , \text{ then } L - \text{int}(A) = \{b, c\}, L - \text{int}\{B\} = \{a, b\}, L - \text{int}(A \cap B) = \phi . \text{ Therefore } L - \text{int}(A) \cap L - \text{int}(B) = \{b\} \not\subset L - \text{int}(A \cap B) = \phi .$$

4.11 Remark :

The revers inclusions in theorem 3.4 parts (9I, II) are not true as shown by the following example.

4.12 Example :

Consider (X, T_1, T_2) defined in example 4.10. Take $A = \{a, c\}, B = \{b, d\}$, then $L-b(A) = \{c\}, L-b(B) = \{b, d\}$. Therefore $L-b(A) \cup L-b(B) = \{c, b, d\} \not\subset L-b(A \cup B) = \phi$.

Take $A = \{b\}, B = \{a, b, c\}$ and $L-b(A) = \{b\}, L-b(B) = \phi, L-b(A \cap B) = \{b\}$. Then $L-b(A \cap B) = \{b\} \not\subset L-b(A) \cap L-b(B) = \phi$.

4.13 Remark :

If $A \subset B$ does not imply $L-fr(A) \subset L-fr(B)$ as shown by the following example.

4.14 Example :

Consider (X, T_1, T_2) defined in example 4.2. Take $A = \{c\}, B = \{b, c\}$ and $L-fr(A) = \{c\}, L-fr(B) = \phi$, therefore $A \subset B$,but $L-fr(A) = \{c\} \not\subset L-fr(B) = \phi$.

4.15 Remark :

The revers inclusion in theorem 3.6 parts (4) are not true as shown by the following example .

4.16 Example :

Consider (X, T_1, T_2) define in example 4.10. Take $A = \{a, b\}, B = \{a, c\}$, so $A \cap B = \{a\}$. Then $L-ext(A) = \phi, L-ext(B) = \phi, L-ext(A \cap B) = \{b, c, d\}$. Therefore $L-ext(A \cap B) = \{b, c, d\} \not\subset L-ext(A) \cup L-ext(B) = \phi$.

Reference.

1) Kelly J.C. "Bitopological spaces" Proc.London Math. 13(1963), 71-89.