## On order and type of entire functions represented by special monogenic functions

Mushtaq Shakir A.Hussein<sup>(1)</sup> Aseel Hameed Abed Sadaa<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of science, Mustansiriha University
 <sup>(2)</sup> Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, Mustansiriha University

### Abstract

In the present paper we study the generalized order and generalized type of special monogenic functions having slow growth. The studied characterizations of generalized order and type of special monogenic functions have been obtained in terms of their Taylor's series coefficients.

**Keywords:** Clifford algebra, Clifford analysis, special monogenic functions, generalized order and type.

## **1- Introduction**

Firstly, following Constales, Almeida and Krausshar (see [1] and [2]), we give some definitions and associated properties .Let  $m = (m_1, m_2, ..., m_n) \in N_0^n$  be the n-dimensional multi-index and  $x \in R^n$  then we define  $x^m = x_1^{m1} \dots x_n^{mn}$ ,  $m! = m_1! \dots, m_n! |m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n \dots$  (1)

By  $\{e_1, e_{2,...,}e_n\}$  we denote the canonical basis of the Euclidean vector space  $R^n$ . The associated real Clifford algebra  $CI_n$  is free algebra generated by  $R^n$  modulo  $x^2=-\|x\|^2e_0$ , where  $e_0$  is the neutral element with respect to multiplication of the Clifford algebra  $CI_n$ . In the Clifford algebra  $CI_n$  following multiplication rule holds :

 $e_i e_j + e_j e_i = -2 \delta_{i,j}$ , i, j = 1, 2, ..., n. Where  $\delta_{ij}$  is kronecker symbol. ... (2)

A basis for Clifford algebra  $CI_n$  is given by the set  $\{e_A : A \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}\}$ , with  $e_A = e_{l_1} e_{l_2} ..., e_{l_r}$ .

Where  $1 \le l_1 \le l_2 \dots \le l_r \le n$ ,  $e_{\phi} = e_0 = 1$ . Each  $a \in CI_n$  can be written in the form  $a = \sum_{A \subseteq (1,2,.,n)} a_A e_A$ ,

With  $a_A \in R$ . The conjugation in Clifford algebra  $CI_n$  is defined by  $\overline{a} = \sum_{A \subseteq (1,2,.,n)} a_A \overline{e}_A$  Where  $\overline{e}_A = \overline{e}_{l_r} \overline{e}_{l_{r-1}} \dots \overline{e}_{l_1}$ , and  $\overline{e}_j = -e_j$  for j=1,

2...,n,  $\overline{e}_0 = e_0 = 1$ . The linear subspace span  $_R\{1, e_1, e_2, ..., e_n\} \subseteq CI_n$  is the so called space of Para vectors  $z = x_0 + x_1 e_1 + x_2 e_2 + .... + x_n e_n$  which we simply identify with  $R^{n+1}$ : Here  $x_0 = Sc(z)$  is scalar part and  $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + ... + x_ne_n = Vec(z)$  is vector part of Paravector z: The Clifford norm of an arbitrary  $a = \sum_{A \subseteq (1,2,..,n)} a_A e_A$  is given by  $||a|| = (\sum_{A \subseteq (1,2,..,n)} |a_A|^2)^{1/2}$ . The generalized Cauchy–Riemann operator in  $R^{n+1}$  is given by  $D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . If  $U \subseteq R^{n+1}$  is an open set then the function g: U $\rightarrow$ CI<sub>n</sub> is called left (right) monogenic at a point  $z \in U$  if Dg(z) = 0 (gD(z) = 0). The functions which are left (right) monogenic in the whole space called left (right) entire monogenic functions. Following Abul-Ez and Constales [4], we consider the class of monogenic

polynomials  $p_m$  of degree  $|\mathbf{m}|$ , defined as

$$P_{m}(z) = \sum_{i+j=|m|}^{\infty} \frac{((n-1/2))i}{i!} \frac{((n+1)/2)j}{j!} \quad (\overline{z})^{i} (z)^{j} \qquad \dots \quad (3)$$

Let  $\omega_n$  be *n*-dimensional surface area of n +1-dimensional unit ball and let  $S^n$  be *n*- dimensional sphere. Then, the class of monogenic polynomials described in (3) satisfies (see [5], p. 1259)

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathrm{m}}} \int_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{n}} \overline{p_{\mathrm{m}}} p_{\mathrm{l}}(z) \, \mathrm{dS}_{z} = k_{\mathrm{m}} \, \delta_{|\mathrm{m}||\mathrm{l}|} \qquad \dots \quad (4)$$

Also following Abul-Ez and De Almeida [5], we have

 $\max_{\|z\|=r} \|P_{m}(z)\| = k_{m} r^{m} \qquad \dots (5)$ 

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some definition which will be used in the next section

**Definition** (2.1),[5]: Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  containing the origin and let (z) be monogenic in  $\Omega$ . Then (z) is called special monogenic in  $\Omega$ , if and only if its Taylor's series near zero has the form

$$g(z) = \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} p_m(z) c_m , c_m \in CI_n$$
 ... (6)

**Definition(2.2),[5]** : Let  $g(z) = \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} p_m(z)c_m$  be a special monogenic function defined on a neighborhood of the closed ball B(0,r). Then,

$$\|c_{m}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{m}}} M(r,g) r^{-m} \dots (7)$$

Where  $M(r,g)=\max_{\|z\|=r} \|g(z)\|$  is the maximum modulus of g(z).

**Definition(2.3),[5]:** Let  $g:\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\to CI_{0n}$  be a special monogenic function whose Taylor's series representation is given by (6). Then, for r > 0 the maximum term of this special monogenic function is given by

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \mu(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{g}) = \max_{|\mathbf{m}| \ge 0} \{ \|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}\| \|\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{r}^{\mathbf{m}} \} \qquad \dots (8)$$

Also the index **m** with maximal length  $|\mathbf{m}|$  for which maximum term is achieved is called the central index and is denoted by:

$$v(r) = v(r, f) = m.$$
 ... (9)

**Definition** (2.4),[5]: let g:R<sup>n+1</sup> $\rightarrow$ CI<sub>n</sub> be a special monogenic function whose Taylor's series representation is given by (6) Then, the order  $\rho$  and lower order  $\lambda$  of (z) are defined as :

$$\rho = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M(r,g)}{\log r} \qquad \dots (10)$$

 $\lambda = lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} inf \frac{loglog M(r,g)}{logr}$ 

**Definition** (2.5),[5]: Let: $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow CI_{0n}$  be a special monogenic function whose Taylor's series representation is given by (6) Then, the type  $\sigma$  and lower type of g are defined as :

$$\sigma = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup \frac{\log M(r,g)}{r^{\rho}} \qquad \dots (11)$$
$$\omega = \lim_{r \to \infty} \inf \frac{\log M(r,g)}{r^{\rho}}$$

The concept of generalized order and generalized type for entire transcendental functions was given by Seremeta [6], Kapoor and Nautiyal [3], Hence, let  $L^0$  denote the class of functions h(x) satisfying the following conditions:

(i) h(x) is defined on  $[a;\infty)$  and is positive, strictly increasing, differentiable and tends to  $\infty$  as  $x \to \infty$ ;

(ii)  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{h[\{1+\frac{1}{\Psi(x)}\}x]}{h(x)} = 1, \text{ for every function } \Psi(x) \text{ such that } \Psi(x)$   $\to\infty \text{ as } x\to\infty. \text{ The functions of the form } f(x) = ax + b; 0 < a < \infty; 0 < b$  $<\infty \text{ are in class } L^0. \text{ Let } \Lambda \text{ denote the class of functions } h(x) \text{ satisfying conditions (i) and}$ 

(iii) 
$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{h(cx)}{h(x)} = 1$$

For every c>0, that is h(x) is slowly increasing .The functions of the form f(x)=log(ax),  $0 \le a \le \infty$ , are in class  $\Lambda$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the class of function h(x) satisfying condition (i) and

(iv) there exist a function  $\delta(x)\in \Lambda$  and constants  $x_0$  , K  $_1$  and K  $_2$  such that

$$0 \leq k_1 \leq \frac{d\{h(x)\}}{d\{\delta(\log x)\}} \leq k_2 < \infty,$$

For all  $x>x_0$ , The functions of the form  $f(x) = \delta (\log x)$ ,  $\delta \in \Lambda$  are in class  $\Lambda$ . (see [3]). Let  $\overline{\Omega}$  be the class of functions h(x) satisfying (i) and

(v)  $\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{d\{h(x)\}}{d\{\log x\}} = K$ ,  $0 \le K \le \infty$ . The functions of the form  $f(x) = \log x + a$ ( $\log \log x$ )<sup>b</sup>;  $0 \le a \le \infty$ ;  $0 \le b \le \infty$  are in class  $\overline{\Omega}$ . (See [3]).

Kapoor and Nautiyal [3] showed that classes  $\Omega$  and  $\overline{\Omega}$  are contained in  $\Lambda$  and  $\Omega \cap \overline{\Omega} = \phi$ . For an entire monogenic function g(z) and functions  $\alpha(x)$  either belongs to  $\Omega$  or  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we define the generalized order  $\rho(\alpha, g)$  of g(z) and generalized type  $\sigma=(\alpha,\rho,g)$  as :

$$\rho(\alpha,g) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup \frac{\alpha[\log M(r,g)]}{\alpha(\log r)} \qquad \dots (12)$$
$$\sigma = (\alpha,\rho,g) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \sup \frac{\alpha[\log M(r,g)]}{[\alpha(\log r)]^{\rho}}$$

# 3. Main Results

Now we prove **Theorem (3.1):** 

Let  $g: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow CI_{0n}$  be a special monogenic function whose Taylor's series representation is given by (6) if  $\alpha(x)$  either belongs to  $\Omega$  or to  $\overline{\Omega}$ , then the generalized order  $\rho(\alpha,g), (1 \le \rho(\alpha,g) \le \infty)$  of g(z) is given as : -

$$\rho(\alpha, g) - 1 = \lim_{|m|} \to \infty \sup_{\alpha \{ \log \|c_m\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}} \}} \dots \dots (13)$$

Proof: -

Write

$$\Theta = \lim |\mathbf{m}|_{\to\infty} \sup_{\alpha \{ \log \|\mathbf{m}\|^{\frac{-1}{|\mathbf{m}|}} \}} \dots (14)$$

Now first we prove that  $\rho$ -1  $\geq \Theta$ . The coefficients of a monogenic Taylor's series satisfy Cauchy's inequality, that is

# JOURNAL OF COLLEGE OF EDUCATION ...... 2016.......NO.1

$$\begin{split} \|c_{m}\| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{m}}} M(r,g) \ r^{-|m|} \qquad \dots (15) \\ \text{Also from (12) , for arbitrary } \mathcal{E} > 0 \text{ and all } r > r_{0} (\mathcal{E}) \text{ , we have} \\ M(r,g) \leq \exp[\alpha^{-1} \{ \acute{p} \alpha(\log r) \}] \qquad \dots (16) \\ \text{Where } \rho' = \rho + \mathcal{E} \\ \text{Now from inequality (15) we have} \\ \|c_{m}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{m}}} \ r^{-|m|} \exp[\alpha^{-1} \{ \acute{p} \alpha(\log r) \}] \\ \text{Since } (\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{m}}}) \leq 1 \quad (\text{see [5], pp.148) so the above inequality reduces to } \dots (17) \\ \|c_{m}\| \leq r^{-|m|} \exp[\alpha^{-1} \{ \acute{p} \alpha(\log r) \}] \end{split}$$

Or

$$\|c_{m}\| \le \exp[-\|m\|\log r + \alpha^{-1}\{\dot{p} \ \alpha(\log r)\}]$$
 ... (18)

Since  $\alpha(x)$  is an increasing function of x, we define r=r(|m|) as the unique root of equation

$$\alpha \left[ \frac{|\mathbf{m}| \log \mathbf{r}}{\dot{p}} \right] = \dot{p} \, \alpha(\log \mathbf{r}) \qquad \dots \qquad (19)$$

For large values of |m|, we have

$$\alpha(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{m}|) \approx \alpha(|\mathbf{m}|)$$

$$\rightarrow \alpha(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{m}|) \approx \alpha(|\mathbf{m}|) \{1+\mathbf{o}(1)\}$$

$$\rightarrow \alpha(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{m}|) \approx \alpha(|\mathbf{m}|) \{1+\frac{\alpha(\mathbf{c})}{\alpha(|\mathbf{m})|}\}$$

$$\rightarrow \alpha(\mathbf{c}|\mathbf{m}|) \approx \alpha(|\mathbf{m}|) + \alpha(|\mathbf{c}|)$$

Thus for large values of |m| from equation (19) we have

$$\dot{p}\alpha(\log r) \approx \alpha(|\mathbf{m}|) + \alpha(\log r) - \alpha(\dot{p})$$

Or 
$$\alpha(\log r) \approx \frac{\alpha(|m)|}{p-1} \left\{ \frac{1-\alpha(p)}{\alpha(|m|)} \right\}$$

Or 
$$\alpha(\log r) \approx \frac{\alpha(|\mathbf{m})|}{p-1} \{ 1+o(1) \}$$

Or 
$$\log r \approx \alpha^{-1} \{ \frac{1}{p-1} \alpha(|m|) \} = F(|m| \frac{1}{p-1}) \dots (20)$$

using (19)and (20) in (18) we get

$$\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}\| \le \exp\left[-|\mathbf{m}|\mathbf{F} + (\frac{|\mathbf{m}|}{p})\mathbf{F}\right]$$

Or 
$$\frac{\acute{p}}{\acute{p}-1}\log \{ \|c_m\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}} \} \ge \alpha^{-1} \{ \frac{1}{\acute{p}-1} \alpha(|m|) \}$$

Or 
$$\frac{\alpha(|\mathbf{m}|)}{\alpha\{\frac{\dot{p}}{\dot{p}-1}\log\{\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}\|\}^{\frac{-1}{|\mathbf{m}|}}\}} \leq \dot{p}-1$$

Or 
$$\frac{\alpha(|m|)}{\alpha[\log \{\|c_m\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\}]} \leq (p-1) \times \frac{\alpha[\frac{p}{p-1}\log \{\|c_m\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\}]}{\alpha[\log \{\|c_m\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\}]}$$

Since  $\alpha$  (cx) $\approx \alpha(x)$  as x $\rightarrow \infty$ , proceeding to limit as  $|m| \rightarrow \infty$  we get

 $\Theta \le \acute{p}-1$ 

Since  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  is arbitrarily small we finally get

Now, we will prove that  $\Theta \ge \rho$ . If  $\Theta = \infty$ , then there is nothing to prove. So let us assume that  $0 \le \Theta \le \infty$ , Therefore, for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all multi –indices m with  $|m| > n_0$ , we have

$$\frac{\alpha(|m|)}{\alpha[\log \left\{\|c_m\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\right\}]} \leq \Theta + E = \tilde{O}$$

Or

$$\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}\| \leq \exp\left[-|\mathbf{m}| \; \alpha^{-1}\{\alpha(|\mathbf{m}|) \; / \tilde{\mathbf{O}}\}\right]$$

Now from the property of maximum modulus, we have

Or 
$$M(r,g) \le \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} \|c_m\| k_m r^{|m|}$$
$$M(r,g) \le \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} k_m r^{|m|} \exp[-|m| \alpha^{-1} \{ \frac{|m|}{\tilde{0}} \}]$$

Now for  $r = \max\{1, \exp(\alpha^{-1}(\frac{\alpha(n_0+1)}{\tilde{0}})/(n+1)\}$ , we have

$$M(r,g) \le A_1 r^{n_0} + \sum_{|m|=n_{0+1}}^{\infty} k_m r^{|m|} \exp[-|m| \alpha^{-1} \{\alpha(|m|)/\tilde{O}\}] \dots (22)$$

Where  $A_1$  is positive real constant.

We take N(r)=[ $\alpha^{-1}$  {Õ  $\alpha$ [log {(n+1)r}]}] where [x] denotes the integer part of x≥0.

Since  $\alpha(x)$  either belongs to  $\Omega$  or to  $\overline{\Omega}$ , the integer N(r) is well defined. Now if r is sufficient large then from (22) we have

$$M(r,g) \leq A_{1}r^{n0} + r^{N(r)} \times \sum_{n0+1 < |m| < N(r)}^{\infty} k_{m} \exp[-|m|\alpha^{-1}\{\alpha(|m|/\tilde{O}\}] + \sum_{|m| > N(r)} k_{m} \exp[-|m|\alpha^{-1}\{\alpha(\frac{|m|}{\tilde{O}})\}] \qquad \dots (23)$$

Now the first series in (22) can rewritten as

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{|m|=p}^{\infty} k_m) \exp[-p\alpha^{-1} \{\alpha(p)/\tilde{O}] \qquad \dots (24)$$

Now from ([2], lemma 1), we have

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \sup(\sum k_m)^{\frac{1}{p}} = n$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \sup[(\sum_{|m|=p} k_m) \exp[-p\alpha^{-1}\{\alpha(p)/\tilde{O}\}]^{1/p} = n \lim_{p\to\infty} \sup \exp[-\alpha^{-1}\{\alpha((p)/\tilde{O}\}] = 0$$

Hence the series (24) converges to a positive real constant  $A_2$ .so from (23) we get

$$M(r,g) \leq A_1 r^{n0} + A_2 r^{N(r)} + \sum_{|m| > N(r)} k_m r^{|m|} exp[-|m| \alpha^{-1} \{\alpha(|m|/\tilde{O}\}]$$
  
Or 
$$M(r,g) \leq A_1 r^{n0} + A_2 r^{N(r)} \sum_{|m| > N(r)} k_m r^{|m|} exp[-|m| \log\{(n+1)r\}]$$

Or M(r,g) 
$$\leq A_1 r^{n0} + A_2 r^{N(r)} + \sum_{|m| > N(r)} k_m (\frac{1}{n+1})^{|m|}$$
 ...(25)

The series in (25) can rewritten as

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{|m|=p}^{\infty} k_m) \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^p \dots (26)$$

So we have

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sup \left[ (\sum_{|m|=p} k_m) (\frac{1}{n+1})^p \right]^{1/p} = \frac{n}{n+1} < 1$$

Hence the series (26) converges to a positive real constant  $A_3$ . So from (25) we get

$$M(r,g) \le A_1 r^n 0 + A_2 r^{N(r)} + A_3$$

Since  $N(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  so we can write above inequality as

$$\label{eq:log} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Log } M(r,g) \leq [1\!+\!o(1)] N(r) \mbox{logr} \\ \mbox{Or} & \mbox{Log } M(r,g) \leq [1\!+\!o(1)] [\alpha^{-1} \{ \tilde{O} \alpha [\log \{ (n\!+\!1)r \} ] \} ] \mbox{logr} \end{array}$$

## JOURNAL OF COLLEGE OF EDUCATION ...... 2016....... NO.1

$$\leq [1+o(1)][\alpha^{-1}\{ \tilde{O} \alpha [\log\{(n+1)r\}]\}] \times [\alpha^{-1}\{\alpha (\log\{(n+1)r\}]]$$

$$\leq [1+o(1)][\alpha^{-1}\{(\tilde{O}+1)\alpha[\log\{(n+1)r\}]\}]$$

Or  $\alpha[\log M(r,g)] \leq (\tilde{O}+1)\alpha[\log(n+1)r]$ 

Or 
$$\frac{\alpha[\log M(r,g)]}{\alpha(\log r)} \le (\tilde{O}+1) \frac{[\alpha[\{1+o(1)\}\log r]]}{\alpha(\log r)}$$

Proceeding to limits as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and using properties of  $\alpha(x)$ , we get

 $\rho \leq \tilde{O} + 1$ 

Since  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  is arbitrarily small, we finally get

P-1≤Θ ...(27)

Combining (21) and (27) ,we get (13).hence theorem 1 is proved . Next ,we prove the following

#### **Theorem (3.2):**

Let  $g:\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\to CI_{0n}$  be a special monogenic function whose Taylor's representation is given by (6) Also if  $\alpha(x)$  either belongs to  $\Omega$  or to  $\overline{\Omega}$  and  $o < \rho < \infty$ , then the generalized type  $\sigma = (\alpha, \rho, g)$  of g(z) is given by

$$\sigma(\alpha,\rho,g)-1=\lim_{|m|\to\infty}\sup\frac{\alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})}{[\alpha\{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log(\|c_m\|)^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\}]^{\rho-1}} \qquad \dots (28)$$

proof:

write  $\sigma = \sigma(\alpha, \rho, g)$  and

$$\eta = \lim_{|\mathbf{m}| \to \infty} \sup \frac{\alpha(\frac{|\mathbf{m}|}{\rho})}{[\alpha(\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log(||\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}||)^{\frac{-1}{|\mathbf{m}|}})]^{\rho-1}} \dots (29)$$

First we prove that  $\eta \le \sigma$ -1, the coefficients of special monogenic function satisfy Cauchy's inequality,

that is

$$\|c_{m}\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{k_{m}}} M(r,g) r^{-|m|} \dots (30)$$

Also from (12) , for arbitrary  $\mathcal{E}>0$  and all  $r>r_0$  ( $\mathcal{E}$ ) we have

$$M(r,g) \leq \exp([\alpha^{-1}[\sigma'(\alpha(\log r))]^{\rho}) \qquad \dots (31)$$

Where  $\sigma' = \sigma + \mathcal{E}$ 

JOURNAL OF COLLEGE OF EDUCATION ...... 2016....... NO.1

Since 
$$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_m}}) \le 1$$
 (see [5],pp.148) ...(32)

So from eq(31)and(32) then eq(30) reduces to

$$\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}\| \leq r^{-|\mathbf{m}|} \exp(\alpha^{-1}[\sigma'\{\alpha(\log r)\}^{\rho}])$$
$$\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}\| \leq \exp(-|\mathbf{m}|\log r + \alpha^{-1}[\sigma'\{\alpha(\log r)\}^{\rho}]) \qquad \dots (33)$$

Let r=r(|m|) be unique root of the equation

$$\alpha \left[ \frac{|\mathsf{m}| \log r}{\rho} \right] = (\sigma' - 1) \{\alpha(\log r)\}^{\rho} \qquad \dots (34)$$

Then for all large values of |m|, we have

$$\log r \approx \alpha^{-1} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{\sigma' - 1} \alpha(|\mathbf{m}|) / \rho \right\}^{1/\rho - 1} \right] = G(|\mathbf{m}| / \rho , \frac{1}{\sigma' - 1} , \rho - 1) \dots (35)$$

Using (34) and (35) in (33) we get

$$\|c_m\| \le \exp[-|m|G + (\frac{|m|}{\rho})G]$$

Or 
$$\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log \{\|\mathbf{c}_{m}\|^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\} \ge \alpha^{-1}[\{\frac{1}{\sigma'-1}\alpha(|m|/\rho)\}^{1/\rho-1}]$$

Or 
$$\frac{\alpha(|\mathbf{m}|/\rho)}{[\alpha\{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log(\|\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{m}}\|^{\frac{-1}{|\mathbf{m}|}})\}]^{\rho-1}} \leq \sigma'-1$$

Proceeding to limit as  $|m| \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\eta = \lim_{|m| \to \infty} \sup \frac{\alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})}{[\alpha\{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log(\|c_m\|)^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\}]^{\rho-1}} \le \sigma'-1$$

since  $\mathcal{E}>0$  is arbitrarily small we finally get

$$\eta \leq (\sigma - 1)$$
 ... (36)

Now, we will proved that  $\sigma$ -1 $\leq \eta$ . If  $\eta = \infty$ , then there is nothing to prove. So let us assume that  $0 \leq \eta \leq \infty$ , therefore, for all  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  there exist  $n_0 \in N$  such that for all multi-indices m with  $|m| > n_0$ , we have

$$0 \leq \frac{\alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})}{[\alpha\{\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log(\|c_{m}\|)^{\frac{-1}{|m|}}\}]^{\rho-1}} \leq \eta + \mathcal{E} = \eta' \qquad \dots(37)$$

Or

Or

$$\|c_{m}\| \leq k_{m} \exp(-\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} \|m\| \alpha^{-1}[\{\frac{1}{\eta}\alpha(|m|/\rho)\}^{1/\rho-1}])$$

Now from the property of maximum modulus, we have

$$M(r,g) \leq \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} \|c_m\| r^{|m|}$$

Or  $M(r,g) \le \sum_{|m|=0}^{\infty} \|c_m\| r^{|m|} + \sum_{|m|=n_{0+1}} k_m r^{|m|} \exp(-\frac{\rho-1}{\eta'} |m| \alpha^{-1} [\{\frac{1}{\eta'} \alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})\}^{1/\rho-1}])$ 

Now for r>1, we have  $M(r,g) \le B_1 r^{n0} + \sum_{|m|=n_{0+1}} k_m r^{|m|} \exp(-\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} |m| \alpha^{-1} [\{\frac{1}{\eta'} - \alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})^{1/\rho-1}]) \dots (38)$ 

Where  $B_1$  is a positive real constant .we take

N(r)=[
$$\rho \alpha^{-1} \{\eta' (\alpha[\frac{\rho}{\rho-1}\log\{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}-1]$$

Where [x] denotes the integer part of x≥0. Since  $\alpha(x)$  either belongs to  $\Omega$  or to  $\overline{\Omega}$  the integer N(r) is well defined. Now if r is sufficiently large, then from (38) we have M(r,g)≤B<sub>1</sub> r<sup>n0</sup>+ r<sup>N(r)</sup>×  $\sum_{n_{0+1}<N(r)} k_m \exp(-\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} |m| \alpha^{-1}[\{\frac{1}{\eta'} \alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})\}^{1/\rho-1}]]+\sum_{|m|>N(r)} k_m r^{|m|} \exp(-\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} |m| \alpha^{-1}[\{\frac{1}{\eta'} \alpha(\frac{|m|}{\rho})\}^{1/\rho-1}\}) \dots$  (39)

Now the first series in (39) can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{|m|=p}^{\infty} k_m) \exp(-\frac{\rho-1}{\rho} p \alpha^{-1} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta'} \alpha(p/\rho) \right\} \right] )^{1/\rho-1} \qquad \dots (40)$$

Now from ([2], Lemma 1), we have

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \sup(\sum_{|m|=p} k_m)^{1/p} = n$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} \lim_{p \to \infty} \sup \left[ \left( \sum_{|m|=p} k_{m} \right) \exp \left( -\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} \rho \alpha^{-1} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta'} \alpha(p/\rho) \right\}^{1/\rho - 1} \right] \right]^{1/\rho} \right] \\ = n \lim_{p \to \infty} \sup \exp \left( -\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} p \alpha^{-1} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta'} \alpha(p/\rho) \right\}^{1/\rho - 1} \right] \right] = 0 \end{split}$$

Hence the series (40) converges to a positive real constant  $B_2$  .So from(39) we get

$$M(r,g) \le B_1 r^{n0} + B_2 r^{N(r)} + \sum_{|m| > N(r)} k_m r^{|m|} exp(-\frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} |m| \alpha^{-1} [\{ \frac{1}{\eta'} \frac{\alpha(|m|)}{\rho} \}^{1/\rho - 1}])$$

Or

$$M(r,g) \le B_1 r^{n0} + B_2 r^{N(r)} + \sum_{|m| > N(r)} k_m r^{|m|} \exp[-|m| \log\{(n+1)r\}]$$

Or 
$$M(r,g) \le B_1 r^{n0} + B_2 r^{N(r)} + \sum_{|m|=1} k_m \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^{|m|} \dots (41)$$

The series in (41) can we rewritten as

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} (\sum_{|m|=p} k_m) (\frac{1}{n+1})^p \qquad \dots (42)$$

So we have

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sup \left[ \sum_{|m|=p}^{\infty} (k_m) (\frac{1}{n+1})^{\rho} \right]^{-1/p} = \frac{n}{n+1} < 1$$

Hence the series (42) converges to a positive real constant  $B_3$ . Therefore from (41), we get

 $M(r,g) \le B_1 r^{n0} + B_2 r^{N(r)} + B_3$ 

Since  $N(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  so we can write above inequality as

$$\begin{split} & \text{Log } M(r,g) \leq [1+o(1)] N(r) \text{ logr} \\ & \leq [1+o(1)] [\rho \alpha^{-1} \{\eta' (\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r])^{\rho-1} \}] \text{ logr} \\ & \leq [1+o(1)] [\rho \alpha^{-1} \{\eta' (\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r])^{\rho-1} \}] \times \alpha^{-1} \{ (\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho-1} \} \\ & \leq [1+o(1)] \rho \alpha^{-1} \{ (\eta'+1) (\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho-1} \} \\ & \alpha [\log M(r,g)] \leq (\eta'+1) \alpha ([\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log (n+1)r])^{\rho-1} [1+o(1)] \\ & \text{Or} \qquad \frac{\alpha [\log M(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \text{Or} \qquad \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho-1}} \leq (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [0 (1) \log \{(n+1)\}]^{\rho}}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [0 (1) \log \{(n+1)\}]^{\rho}}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [0 (1) \log \{(n+1)\}]^{\rho}}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [0 (1) \log \{(n+1)\}]^{\rho}}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [0 (1) \log \{(n+1)\}]^{\rho}}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [0 (1) \log \{(n+1)\}]^{\rho}}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log n(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log n(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log n(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}])^{\rho}} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log n(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}]} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log n(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \log \{(n+1)r\}]} \leq \frac{\alpha [\log n(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log r])^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o(1)] \\ & \frac{\alpha [\log m(r,g)]}{(\alpha [\log n)} (\eta'+1)^{\rho}} (\eta'+1) [1+o$$

Proceeding to limits as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  and using properties of  $\alpha(x)$ , we get

 $\sigma \leq \eta' + 1$ 

Since  $\mathcal{E}>0$  is arbitrarily small, we finally get

 $\sigma$ -1 $\leq$   $\eta$  ...(43)

Combining (36) and (43), we get (28). hence theorem 2 is proved.

## **<u>4-Conclution</u>**

In the present paper we generalized order  $\rho(\alpha, g)$  and generalized type  $\sigma(\alpha, g)$  the of slow growth of entire special monogenic function, and we continue the work of Susheel Kumar [7].

# JOURNAL OF COLLEGE OF EDUCATION..... 2016......NO.1

#### References

[1] D. Constales, R. De Almeida, R. S. Krausshar, On the relation between the growth and the Taylor coefficients of entire solutions to the higher dimensional Cauchy–Riemann system in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ; J.b Math. Anal. Appl. 327 (2007), 763–775.

[2] D. Constales, R. De Almeida, R. S. Krausshar, On the growth type of entire monogenic functions, Arch Math.pp.153–163,(2007).

[3] G. P. Kapoor and A. Nautiyal, Polynomial approximation of an entire function of slow growth J . Approx Theory, 32 (1981), 64-75.

[4] M. A. Abul-Ez and D. Constales, "Basic sets of polynomials in Clifford analysis," Complex Variables: Theory and Application, vol. 14, no. 1–4, pp. 177–185, 1990.

[5] M. A. Abul-Ez and DeAlmeida, "On the lower order and type of entire axially monogenic functions," Results in Mathematics, vol. 63, no. 3-4, pp. 1257–1275, 2013.

[6] M. N. Seremeta, on the connection between the growth of the maximum modulus of an entire function and the moduli of the coefficients of its power series expansion, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 88(2) (1970), 291–301.

[7] Susheel Kumar ,"Generalized Growth of Special Monogenic Functions", Journal of Complex Analysis , Volume 2014, 5 pages .

# JOURNAL OF COLLEGE OF EDUCATION ..... 2016......NO.1

عن الرتبة والنوع لدوال تحليليه ممثله بواسطة دوال خاصة أحاديه المنشأ

م.أسيل حميد عبد السادة قسم الرياضيات / كلية التربية الأساسية الجامعة المستنصرية ا.م د. مشتاق شاكر ألشباني قسم الرياضيات / كلية العلوم الجامعة المستنصرية

الخلاصة:-

في المقالة المعروضة درسنا أعمام الرتبة وأعمام النوع لدوال الخاصة أحادية المنشأ ذات النمو البطيء ، والوصف لأعمام الرتبة وأعمام النوع قد تم الحصول عليه بدلالة معاملات سلسلة تايلور .