

New oscillation results of Fourth order Differential Equations

Intidhar Zamil Mushtt and Ahmed K. Mohsin
Mustansiriyah University, College of Education, Baghdad. Iraq

Abstract : The oscillation criteria was tested for all four order nonlinear neutral differential equation solutions in this paper . Examples are given to explain our key findings.

Keywords: Oscillation, nutral ,four order , equations.

Introduction :

In this article, we are considering fourth order neutral differential equations of the form:

$$[\mathcal{P}(\sigma) + \mathcal{S}(\sigma)\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma))]^{(4)} + \mathcal{Q}(\sigma)\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(v(\sigma))) = 0, \sigma \geq \sigma_0 \quad (1)$$

Where $\mathcal{S}(\sigma), \mathcal{Q}(\sigma) \in C([\sigma_0, \infty); R), u(\sigma), v(\sigma) \in C[\sigma_0, \infty); R), \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} u(\sigma) = \infty, \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} v(\sigma) = \infty, 0 < \mathcal{S}(\sigma) < \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}(a) \in C(R; R),$ For $\mathcal{T}(ab) \geq \mathcal{T}(a)\mathcal{T}(b),$ and $|\mathcal{T}(\sigma)| \geq m|\sigma|, m > 0.$

By solving (1), we represent a function $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$, where $\mathcal{P}(\sigma) + \mathcal{S}(\sigma)\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma))$ is three times continuously differentiable and $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ satisfies (1) and $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ satisfies (1) on $[\sigma_0, \infty).$

A nontrivial solution $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ is said to be oscillatory if it changes sign on $(\gamma, \infty).$ where γ is any number, otherwise it is said to be nonoscillatory, that. Equation (1) is said to be oscillatory if every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

Several research papers on the oscillatory behavior of neutral delay differential with fourth and n order equation solutions have appeared in the last several years For more information, one can refer to [1-5,7-8].

In this article, our aim is to supply new conditions for ensuring that every solution of(1)is an oscillating.

Mains Outcomes :

In that part, we establish same new conditions for every solution of (1) is an oscillating Define for

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) = \mathcal{P}(\sigma) + \mathcal{S}(\sigma)\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma)) \quad (2)$$

Then applying (2) in (1) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) = -\mathcal{Q}(\sigma)\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(v(\sigma))) \quad (3)$$

Theorem1 : Assume that, $v(\sigma) \geq \sigma, u(\sigma) < \sigma, \mathcal{Q}(\sigma) \geq 0$ and

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma}^{v(\sigma)} \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} m(\omega - \sigma)^2 \mathcal{Q}(\omega) \mathcal{T}((1 - \mathcal{S})) d\omega > \frac{2}{e} \quad (4)$$

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^3 \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} m|\mathcal{Q}(\varrho)| \mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S}) d\varrho > 3 \quad (5)$$

Then every solution of equation (1) oscillates.

Proof : Assume for the sake of contradiction that equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution, let $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ be eventually positive (the case when $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ be eventually negative is similar and we omitted) solution is of (1) and $\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma)), \mathcal{P}(v(\sigma)) > 0$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0$.

From (3) it follows that

$$\mathcal{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \leq 0, \sigma \geq \sigma_1$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(\sigma) \geq \mathcal{P}(\sigma) > 0$ for $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0$

Then $\mathcal{D}^{(3)}(\sigma)$ is monotone , and eventually of ones sign, we calm that $\mathcal{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) > 0$

Otherwise $\mathcal{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) < 0$,leas to $\mathcal{D}''(\sigma) < 0, \mathcal{D}'(\sigma) < 0, \mathcal{D}(\sigma) < 0$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_1$

Which a contradiction then $\mathcal{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) > 0$ leads to $\mathcal{D}''(\sigma) < 0$ or $\mathcal{D}''(\sigma) > 0$

First suppose that $\mathcal{D}''(\sigma) < 0$ implies that $\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) < 0$ or $\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) > 0$

If $\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) < 0$ we must that $\mathcal{D}(\sigma) < 0$ which a contradiction .then $\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) > 0$

By (2) we get

$$\mathcal{P}(\sigma) = \mathcal{D}(\sigma) - \delta(\sigma)\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma)) > (1 - \delta)\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$$

By integral inequality

$$\mathcal{D}^{(\zeta)}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=\zeta}^{\tau-1} (-1)^{i-\zeta} \frac{(\varrho - \sigma)^{i-\zeta}}{(i - \zeta)!} \mathcal{D}^{(i)}(\varrho) + \frac{(-1)^{i-\zeta}}{(\tau - 1 - \zeta)!} \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} (\omega - \sigma)^{(\tau-1-\zeta)} \mathcal{D}^{(\tau)}(\omega) d\omega$$

Take $\zeta = 1, \tau = 4$ we get

$$\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) = \mathcal{D}'(\varrho) - (\varrho - \sigma)\mathcal{D}''(\varrho) + \frac{(\varrho - \sigma)^2}{2} \mathcal{D}^{(3)}(\varrho) + \frac{(-1)^3}{2} \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} (\omega - \sigma)^2 \mathcal{D}^{(4)}(\omega) d\omega$$

So

$$\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) \geq \frac{-1}{2} \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} (\omega - \sigma)^2 \mathcal{D}^{(4)}(\omega) d\omega \quad (6)$$

By (3) we have

$$-\mathcal{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) = \mathcal{Q}(\sigma)\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(v(\sigma)))$$

Substation in (6) we get

$$\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} (\omega - \sigma)^2 \mathcal{Q}(\omega)\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(v(\omega))) d\omega$$

$$\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} m(\omega - \sigma)^2 \mathcal{Q}(\omega)\mathcal{T}((1 - \delta)) \mathcal{D}(v(\omega)) d\omega$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(v(\sigma))$ increasing then

$$\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(v(\sigma)) \int_{\sigma}^{\varrho} m(\omega - \sigma)^2 \mathcal{Q}(\omega)\mathcal{T}((1 - \delta)) d\omega \geq 0$$

By condition (4) and by a well-known result in [[6], Theorem 2.3.4.] which is contradiction .

Now suppose that $\mathcal{D}''(\sigma) > 0$ implies that $\mathcal{D}'(\sigma) > 0$

And by (2) $\mathcal{P}(\sigma) > (1 - \delta)\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$

By (3) we get $\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \leq -mQ(\sigma)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})\mathfrak{D}(v(\sigma))$

Integrating from σ to ∞ we get

$$-\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \leq -\int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})\mathfrak{D}(v(\varrho))d\varrho$$

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})\mathfrak{D}(v(\varrho))d\varrho$$

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{D}(v(\sigma)) \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}(v(\sigma)) \geq \mathfrak{D}(\sigma)$ then

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho \quad (7)$$

Let

$$\int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma} \varrho \mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\varrho) d\varrho = \sigma \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) - \sigma_2 \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma_2) - \mathfrak{D}^{(2)}(\sigma) + \mathfrak{D}^{(2)}(\sigma_2)$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ the last inequality yields to

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} [\sigma \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) - \sigma_2 \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma_2) - \mathfrak{D}^{(2)}(\sigma) + \mathfrak{D}^{(2)}(\sigma_2)] = \infty$$

Then

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} [\sigma \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) - \mathfrak{D}^{(2)}(\sigma)] = \infty$$

Hence

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(2)}(\sigma) \geq \sigma \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma)$$

similary we can find $\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma^3}{3} \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma)$

then equation (7) become $\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma^3}{3} \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma^3}{3} \mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho$

then $3 \geq \sigma^3 \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho$

which is a contradiction of (5).

Theorem 2: Assume that, $v(\sigma) \geq \sigma$, $Q(\sigma) \leq 0$, $v(\omega(\sigma)) > \sigma$, $v(u^{-1}(\sigma)) > \sigma$ and

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^3 \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} mQ(\varrho)\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho > 3 \quad (8)$$

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma}^{v(\omega(\sigma))} m|Q(s)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega(s)}^s (v(s) - \varsigma)^2 d\varsigma\right) > \frac{1}{e} \quad (9)$$

$$\liminf_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\sigma}^{v(u^{-1}(\sigma))} \int_{\zeta}^{\infty} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} m|Q(\varsigma)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{s}(1 - \frac{1}{s})\right) v(u^{-1}(\varsigma)) d\varsigma > \frac{1}{e} \quad (10)$$

Then every solution of equation (1) oscillates.

Proof : Assume for the sake of contradiction that equation (1) has a nonoscillatory solution, let $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ be eventually positive(the case when $\mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ be eventually negative is similar and we omitted) solution is of eq(1) and $\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma)), \mathcal{P}(v(\sigma)) > 0$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0$.

From (3) it follows that

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \geq 0, \sigma \geq \sigma_1$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \mathcal{P}(\sigma) > 0$ for $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0$

Thus for $\sigma \geq \sigma_1$ either $\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) > 0$ or $\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) < 0$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_1 \geq \sigma_0$.

First let $\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) > 0$, thus implies that $\mathfrak{D}''(\sigma) > 0$, $\mathfrak{D}'(\sigma) > 0$,

By (3) we have $\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) = |Q(\sigma)|\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\nu(\sigma)))$

since $\mathcal{P}(\sigma) > (1 - \mathcal{S})\mathfrak{D}(\sigma)$

Then $\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \geq m|Q(\sigma)|\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})\mathfrak{D}(\nu(\sigma))$

Integrating above inequality from $\nu^{-1}(\sigma)$ to σ we get

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \int_{\nu^{-1}(\sigma)}^{\sigma} m|Q(\varrho)|\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})\mathfrak{D}(\nu(\varrho))d\varrho$$

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{D}(\nu(\sigma)) \int_{\nu^{-1}(\sigma)}^{\sigma} m|Q(\varrho)|\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho \quad (11)$$

No by using $\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma^3}{3}\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma)$ then

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma^3}{3}\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\sigma^3}{3}\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \int_{\nu^{-1}(\sigma)}^{\sigma} m|Q(\varrho)|\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho$$

$$3 \geq \sigma^3 \int_{\nu^{-1}(\sigma)}^{\sigma} m|Q(\varrho)|\mathcal{T}(1 - \mathcal{S})d\varrho$$

Which is a contradiction (8)

Next if $\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) < 0$ for $\sigma \geq \sigma_2 \geq \sigma_1$, thus implies that $\mathfrak{D}''(\sigma) > 0$ or $\mathfrak{D}''(\sigma) < 0$ for all $\sigma \geq \sigma_2$, if $\mathfrak{D}''(\sigma) > 0$ then either $\mathfrak{D}'(\sigma) > 0$ or $\mathfrak{D}'(\sigma) < 0$

If $\mathfrak{D}'(\sigma) > 0$

If $\mathfrak{D}'(\sigma) > 0$

By inequality

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=0}^{\tau-1} \frac{(\xi - \sigma)^i}{i!} \mathfrak{D}^{(i)}(\xi) + \frac{1}{(\tau - 1)!} \int_{\xi}^{\sigma} (\sigma - \varsigma)^{(\tau-1)} \mathfrak{D}^{(\tau)}(\varsigma) d\varsigma$$

Take $\tau=3$ we have

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{D}(\xi) + \mathfrak{D}'(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\xi}^{\sigma} (\sigma - \varsigma)^2 \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\varsigma) d\varsigma$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\xi}^{\sigma} (\sigma - \varsigma)^2 \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\varsigma) d\varsigma$$

Let $\xi = \omega(\sigma)$ then

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega(\sigma)}^{\sigma} (\sigma - \varsigma)^2 \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\varsigma) d\varsigma$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma)$ increasing then

$$\mathfrak{D}(\sigma) \geq \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\omega(\sigma))}{2} \int_{\omega(\sigma)}^{\sigma} (\sigma - \varsigma)^2 d\varsigma$$

So

$$\mathfrak{D}(\nu(\sigma)) \geq \frac{\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\nu(\omega(\sigma)))}{2} \int_{\omega(\sigma)}^{\sigma} (\nu(\sigma) - \varsigma)^2 d\varsigma \quad (12)$$

eq(3) can be writing as the from $\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \geq |Q(\sigma)|\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{D}(\nu(\sigma)))$

suppose that $\varphi(\sigma) = \mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma)$ then $\varphi'(\sigma) \geq m|Q(\sigma)|\mathcal{T}(\mathfrak{D}(\nu(\sigma)))$

by using (12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(\sigma) &\geq m|Q(\sigma)|\varphi(\nu(\omega(\sigma)))\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega(\sigma)}^{\sigma} (\nu(\sigma) - \varsigma)^2 d\varsigma\right) \\ \varphi'(\sigma) - m|Q(\sigma)|\varphi(\nu(\omega(\sigma)))\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\omega(\sigma)}^{\sigma} (\nu(\sigma) - \varsigma)^2 d\varsigma\right) &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By condition (9) and by a well-known result in ([6], Theorem 2.3.4.) which contraction .

Next if $\mathfrak{D}'(\sigma) < 0$, then equation (2) can be written as the from

$$\mathcal{S}(\sigma)\mathcal{P}(u(\sigma)) = \mathfrak{D}(\sigma) - \mathcal{P}(\sigma)$$

$$\text{And } \mathcal{P}(\sigma) > \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}})\mathfrak{D}(u^{-1}(\sigma))$$

No from eq (3) and above inequality we have

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \geq m|Q(\sigma)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}})\right)\mathfrak{D}(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma)))$$

$$\text{Since } \mathfrak{D}(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma))) \geq \nu(u^{-1}(\sigma))\mathfrak{D}'(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma)))$$

$$\text{Then } \mathfrak{D}^{(4)}(\sigma) \geq m|Q(\sigma)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}})\right)\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma))\mathfrak{D}'(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma)))$$

Integrating above inequality from σ to ∞ we get

$$-\mathfrak{D}^{(3)}(\sigma) \geq \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} m|Q(\varsigma)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}})\right)\nu(u^{-1}(\varsigma))\mathfrak{D}'(\nu(u^{-1}(\varsigma)))d\varsigma$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}'(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma)))$ increasing then

$$\mathfrak{D}''(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{D}'(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma))) \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} m|Q(\varsigma)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}})\right)\nu(u^{-1}(\varsigma))d\varsigma$$

Integrating above inequality from σ to ∞ we get

$$\mathfrak{D}''(\sigma) \geq \mathfrak{D}'(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma))) \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} m|Q(\varsigma)|\mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}}(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{S}})\right)\nu(u^{-1}(\varsigma))d\varsigma$$

Let $\varphi(\sigma) = \mathfrak{D}'(\sigma)$ then

$$\varphi'(\sigma) - \varphi\left(\nu(u^{-1}(\sigma))\right) \int_{\sigma}^{\infty} \int_{\omega}^{\infty} m|Q(\zeta)| \mathcal{T}\left(\frac{1}{S}(1 - \frac{1}{S})\right) \nu(u^{-1}(\zeta)) d\zeta \geq 0$$

By condition (10) and by a well-known result in [[6], Theorem 2.3.4.]Which is contradiction.

Examples : In this section, We're presenting some examples that explain the essential results

Example 1. Consider the equation:

$$\left[\mathcal{P}(\sigma) + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{P}(\sigma - \pi)\right]^{(4)} + \frac{2}{3}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\sigma + 3\pi)) = 0$$

$$S(\sigma) = \frac{1}{3}, u(\sigma) = \sigma - \pi, Q(\sigma) = \frac{2}{3}, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\sigma + 3\pi)) = \mathcal{P}(\sigma + 3\pi),$$

$$\nu(\sigma) = \sigma + 3\pi, m = 0.5$$

We will find the conditions of Th (1) are satisfies also We're even going to find a oscillation solution by $\mathcal{P}(\sigma) = \cos \sigma$ from this equation.

Example 2. consider the equation:

$$\left[\mathcal{P}(\sigma) + \frac{4}{5}\mathcal{P}(\sigma - 2\pi)\right]^{(4)} - \frac{9}{5}\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\sigma + 4\pi)) = 0$$

$$S(\sigma) = \frac{4}{5}, u(\sigma) = \sigma - 2\pi, Q(\sigma) = -\frac{9}{5}, \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{P}(\sigma + 4\pi)) = \mathcal{P}(\sigma + 4\pi),$$

$$\nu(\sigma) = \sigma + 4\pi, m = 0.8$$

We will find the conditions of Th (2) are satisfies also We're even going to find a oscillation solution by $\mathcal{P}(\sigma) = \sin \sigma$ from this equation.

Conclusion: In the present paper, A wide variety of new conditions, This is obtained to ensure the oscillation activity is for every solution of nutral differential equation for fourth order.

References

- [1] Tongxing .L, Blanka .B, Jozef .D and Chenghui. Z, Oscillation of fourth-order neutral differential equations with p -Laplacian like operators, *Boundary Value Problems* , 56 (2014), pp 1-9.
- [2] H A Mohamad and S N Ketab, Asymptotic behavior criteria for solutions of nonlinear n -th order neutral differential equations , *Materials Science and Engineering* , 571(2019), pp 1-14.
- [3] Said .R, Jozef .D,Irena J and Tongxing L, On the oscillation of fourth-order delay differential equations , *Advances in Difference Equations* ,118 (2019), pp 1-15
- [4] Tongxing .L, Linyi, Yuriy V. Rogovchenko, Kristiansand ,Oscillation of Fourth –Order Quasilinear Differential Equations, *MATHEMATICA BOHEMICA*,4, 140 (2015) ,pp 405–418.
- [5] Osama. M, Rami. El and Omar .B, Oscillatory Behavior of Fourth-Order Differential Equations with Neutral Delay , *Symmetry*, 12. 371(2020), pp1-8.
- [6]. Ladde. G.S, Lakshmikantham . V. and Zhang. B.G , *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with deviating Arguments* , New York and Basel,(1987).
- [7] Omar. B, Oscillatory behavior of fourth-order differential equations with delay argument, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics* ,9.1,(2020), pp569–578.
- [8] Ioannis. D, Omar .B,Oscillation Conditions for Certain Fourth-Order Non-Linear Neutral Differential . *Symmetry* 2 July (2020),pp1-9